G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Madurai.)

File No. 379

Serial Nos. 1–4

Papers Filed.

Month December 1911

SUBJECT.

Rejects the restoration of certain Inam lands alienated from the temple of Sri Ranjaswami at Kittaganahalli, Tumkur Tk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11] 1907

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No. 379-07.

FILE HEADING.

Restoration of certain Inam lands alienated from the Temple of Sri Rangaswamy at Kittaganahalli, Tumkur Tq

From

Srinivasiah, Valuiabdar of the temple

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 13th June 1908 Received

Diary No. 5024	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Prays that S no 44 of Kittaganahalli may be restored as Inam to the temple and that khalsa thereon may be remitted.

	NOTES AND ORDERS
Sl. 2	To, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist Docket no 259 Dt 16.7.1908 Forwards Sl 1 for enquiry and disposal.
	To, Srinivasiah, Encl no. 260 Dt 16.7.1908 Intimates the above

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 379 of 1907

Serial No. 3

From, the Dy. Commr Tumkur Distt.

Letter no. 180 Dy 4-11-1911

Submits report called for in Sl.1

The Dy Commr reports that the land under reference was brought under khalsa and fully assessed in 1881-82 and the khata was made in the name of the petr, that his default in the matter of payt of land revenue due for the year Chitrabhanu led to the sale of the land in auction and one Siddegowda bought it in the sale, that after satisfying the Govt demand the balance remaining out of the sale proceeds of the land in question was taken by the petr Srinivasiah himself and that Sidda Range Gowda son of the last purchaser who is now in the enjoyment of the land does not agree to part with it on the score of his having invested a large sum towards its improvement. That officer is of opinion that there is not sufficient cause for the interference of Govt in the matter.

H. Secretary's order:— Put up Govt rejecting petition. For reference put up some similar cases disposed of.

A parallel case is submitted as directed

No. 485-99
Sl. 2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 379 of 1907

Serial No.

Together with an Endt rejecting petition.
Submitted
6/12/1911

Draft submitted herein
Councillor for approval & their
order Alabrahmanya
6/12/11

May be kept till
Councillor's return
from Kodai
8/12/11

Draft approved
9/1/12
10/1/12
10/1/1912

4 To Sreenivasarangar, Manangi Village, Srivilliputtur Taluk.
No 1631 Dt 17th January 1912.
Rejects his petition as there is no
sufficient cause for the interference of
Government in the matter
To D. C. Srivilliputtur No 1632 Dt 17-1-1912.
forwards Cobs of Lr No 4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Rec
7/6

SECRETARIAT

29-1-1908

A/1

By Reg. Post

1

4

2

3

13-12-1907

Muz. 1] 379-07

190

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched 16.7.08.

Received

General No. 259
No.

Enclosure No. One

15.7

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of enquiry and disposal petition regd. No. 5024 dated 13th June 1908 from Srinivasiah, Valivatdar of Sri Rangaswamy temple at Kittiganahally Agrama in the Tumkur Taluk praying for the remission of Khist Rent on temple lands.

By order.

260 (Vd) (sd) 16/7/08
Secretary.

GPL—Stores—8,000—19-6-08. To the petr
16/7/08

Muz. 21]

ಫೈಲ್ 379-07-2

ನಂ. 2604/16.7.08

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ [illegible] ಮೊಹೆ 13 ನೇ

ಮೆರ್ಕುಂ 5D 244 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಸಲಾಖಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು~~. ಎಂಬ್ಲನ್ನವ

[illegible]

ರಳಿಸಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆ

By order,

C.S. Balasundaramayya
Secretary
16



190

From The Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Depts,
(Muzrai)

Dated Bangalore.
7th November 1911

Despatched

Received

General No 180
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reporting that there is no sufficient cause for the interference of Govt. in the matter alleged in the petition from Srinivasaah Vahivat dar of the Sri Rangaswami Temple at Kittaganahalli Tumkur Taluk praying for the restoration of certain Inam lands.

Continue noted for taking objection
Proper action to be done
9/11/11 Similar cases disposed
15/11/11

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated 7th November 1911

From

V. Shama Rao Esq., M.A.,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore. (Muzrai)

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 259 dated 16th July 1908 forwarding for report the petition from Srinivasiah Vahivatdar of the Sri Ranganswami Temple at Kittaganahalli Tumkur Taluk , praying for the restoration of certain Inam lands said to have been alienated from the temple and for the remission of Khalsat thereon, I have the honour to state that the land under reference Survey No 44 of Kittaganahalli was brought under Khalsat and fully assessed in 1881 -82 and the khate was made in the name of the petitioner . His default in the matter of payment of land revenue due for the year Chitrabhanu led to the sale of the land in auction and one Sidde Gowda bought it in the sale . It is also reported that after satisfying the Government demand the balance remaining out of the sale proceeds of the land in question was taken by the petitioner Srinivasiah himself . Sidda Range Gowda son of the last purchaser who is now in the enjoyment of the land does not agree to part with it on the score of his having invested a large sum towards its improvement .

2. In the above circumstances I am of opinion that there is not sufficient cause for the interferences of Government

3. The vernacular papers connected with the subject are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Sharma Rao,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

JAA

No 1631 ——— ఉప్ర. వి
Jmua 379-07

17-1-1912